Irmo High

6671 St. Andrews Road Columbia, SC 29212

Grades 9-12 High School

Enrollment 1,951 Students

Principal C. Eddie Walker 803-732-8100

Superintendent Dr. Scott Anders En 803-732-8000

Board Chair Paula Hite 803-749-1387

THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL

2006 REPORT CARD

ABSOLUTE RATING

EXCELLENT

Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours

Excellent Good Average Below Average Unsatisfactory

9 9 1 0 0

IMPROVEMENT RATING

GOOD

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

МО

This school met 18 out of 19 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups.

Definition: As required by the United States Department of Education, Adequate Yearly Progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL

By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country.

http://ed.sc.gov http://www.sceoc.org

PERFO	PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD							
Year	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress					
2003	Excellent	Good	No					
2004	Excellent	Excellent	No					
2005	Excellent	Excellent	Yes					
2006	Excellent	Good	No					

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS								
		Our School		,	jh Schools w dents Like O			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006		
Passed 2 subtests	89.7	85.4	84.4	87.6	81.3	82.6		
Passed 1 subtest	6.2	8.5	6.9	7.0	9.9	8.9		
Passed no subtests	4.2	6.2	8.8	6.4	8.8	9.5		

HSAP PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2006		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	95.7%	94.8%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP		
Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	42.9	25.9
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	43.7	28.0
Seniors who met the grade point average	56.3	52.4

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE		
	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Number of Students	409	395
Number of Diplomas	330	285
Rate	80.7%	74.2%

END OF COURSE TESTS		
		High Schools with
Percent of students scoring 70 or above on:	Our School	Students Like Ours
Algebra 1/Math for the Technologies 2	75.3	83.2
English 1	76.6	73.8
Biology 1/Applied Biology 2	74.4	68.8
Physical Science	49.5	59.0
All Subjects	67.7	70.5

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROU	JPS						
	HSAP Pas by Spri	•	Eligibility Schola		Graduation Rate		ate
	n	%	n	n %		%	Met State Objective
All Students	414	95.7	366	42.9	330	80.7	No
Gender							
Male	219	96.3	185	48.6	210	78.6	N/A
Female	194	95.4	181	37.0	199	82.9	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
White	276	98.2	235	58.3	253	86.6	N/A
African American	118	91.5	110	5.5	135	71.9	N/A
Asian/Pacific Islander	12	91.7	12	75.0	11	90.9	N/A
Hispanic	4	75.0	4	0.0	6	33.3	N/A
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	N/A	2	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group							
Non disabled	382	97.9	335	46.9	377	83.3	N/A
Disabilities other than speech	32	68.8	31	0.0	32	50.0	N/A
Migrant Status							
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-migrant	414	95.7	366	42.9	409	80.7	N/A
English Proficiency							
Limited English Proficient	4	50.0	3	0.0	4	50.0	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient	410	96.1	363	43.3	405	81.0	N/A
Socio-Economic Status							
Subsidized meals	74	93.2	87	65.5	87	65.5	N/A
Full-pay meals	340	96.2	308	50.6	322	84.8	N/A

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

		BY GRO	

English Language Arts - State Performance Objective = 52.3% State Performance Objective = 50.3% State		1.	1	1.	. /	1	1	/2	_ /	1
Langisn Lang		1 7 7	g / g	, / 👸	<i>[</i>	. / å	[/ B] E	jg` gg ;	£ 6 jet
Langisn Lang		[je]	\$. \ \$.	/ 8	J ses		/ J	g ce.		g / g g
Langisn Lang		1 2 5	1 %	/ §	%	1 4	1 8		1 P	
Langisn Lang		# B	1	1 %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % \$	^Æ 8	1 8
All Students	E			s - State	<i>l</i> Performa	nce Obje	,	.3%		
Male									Yes	Yes
Female	Gender									
Racial/Ethnic Group	Male	237	100.0	9.4	31.7	32.1	26.8	70.1	N/A	N/A
White 260 100.0 4.8 16.3 34.5 44.4 85.7 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 15.6 40.5 27.2 16.8 55.5 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 18.2 27.3 36.4 72.7 I/S	Female	232	100.0	9.6	20.5	30.6	39.3	76.3	N/A	N/A
African American African American African American African American African American African American Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 18.2 27.3 36.4 72.7 I/S	Racial/Ethnic Group									
Asian/Pacific Islander	White	260	100.0	4.8	16.3	34.5	44.4	85.7	Yes	Yes
Hispanic	African American	189	100.0	15.6	40.5	27.2	16.8	55.5	Yes	Yes
American Indian/Alaskan	Asian/Pacific Islander	11	100.0	18.2	18.2	27.3	36.4	72.7	I/S	I/S
Disability Status	Hispanic	8	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Not Disabled	American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	I/S
Disabled 50 100.0 51.1 33.3 8.9 6.7 24.4 1/S Yes	Disability Status									
Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A	Not Disabled	419	100.0	4.8	25.4	33.9	35.9	78.6	N/A	N/A
Migrant 0 N/A N/A </td <td>Disabled</td> <td>50</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>51.1</td> <td>33.3</td> <td>8.9</td> <td>6.7</td> <td>24.4</td> <td>I/S</td> <td>Yes</td>	Disabled	50	100.0	51.1	33.3	8.9	6.7	24.4	I/S	Yes
Non-Migrant	Migrant Status									
English Proficiency Finited English Proficient Section Sec	Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Limited English Proficient 9 I/S I/S <td>Non-Migrant</td> <td>469</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>26.2</td> <td>31.4</td> <td>33.0</td> <td>73.1</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>	Non-Migrant	469	100.0	9.5	26.2	31.4	33.0	73.1	N/A	N/A
Non-Limited English Proficient 460 100.0 8.9 25.9 31.7 33.5 74.1 N/A N/A	English Proficiency									
Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals 142 100.0 19.4 41.9 26.4 12.4 51.2 Yes Yes Full-pay meals 327 100.0 5.4 19.7 33.4 41.4 82.2 N/A N/A	Limited English Proficient	9	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S
Subsidized meals 142 100.0 19.4 41.9 26.4 12.4 51.2 Yes Yes Full-pay meals 327 100.0 5.4 19.7 33.4 41.4 82.2 N/A N/A Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% All Students 469 100.0 14.0 25.1 28.2 32.7 72.7 Yes Yes Gender Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A Female 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0	Non-Limited English Proficient	460	100.0	8.9	25.9	31.7	33.5	74.1	N/A	N/A
Full-pay meals 327 100.0 5.4 19.7 33.4 41.4 82.2 N/A N/A Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% All Students 469 100.0 14.0 25.1 28.2 32.7 72.7 Yes Yes Gender Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A Racial/IEthnic Group White 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S I/S I/S I/S <td>Socio-Economic Status</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Socio-Economic Status									
Mathematics - State Performance Objective = 50.0% All Students 469 100.0 14.0 25.1 28.2 32.7 72.7 Yes Yes Gender Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S I/S <td< td=""><td>Subsidized meals</td><td>142</td><td>100.0</td><td>19.4</td><td>41.9</td><td>26.4</td><td>12.4</td><td>51.2</td><td>Yes</td><td>Yes</td></td<>	Subsidized meals	142	100.0	19.4	41.9	26.4	12.4	51.2	Yes	Yes
All Students 469 100.0 14.0 25.1 28.2 32.7 72.7 Yes Yes Gender Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A N/A Racial/IEthnic Group White 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S	Full-pay meals	327	100.0	5.4	19.7	33.4	41.4	82.2	N/A	N/A
All Students 469 100.0 14.0 25.1 28.2 32.7 72.7 Yes Yes Gender Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A N/A Racial/IEthnic Group White 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S		Mathemati	cs - State	. Performa	ance Obie	ective = 50	0.0%			
Gender Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S		_						72.7	Yes	Yes
Male 237 100.0 15.6 25.4 26.3 32.6 69.2 N/A N/A Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group N/B VEX VEX VEX VEX VEX VEX YES							3-11	. =		
Female 232 100.0 12.3 24.7 30.1 32.9 76.3 N/A N/A Racial/Ethnic Group White 260 100.0 6.3 15.9 31.0 46.8 86.5 Yes Yes African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S I/S <td></td> <td>237</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>15.6</td> <td>25.4</td> <td>26.3</td> <td>32.6</td> <td>69.2</td> <td>N/A</td> <td>N/A</td>		237	100.0	15.6	25.4	26.3	32.6	69.2	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group	Female	232	100.0	12.3	24.7	30.1	32.9	76.3	N/A	N/A
African American 189 100.0 24.9 38.7 25.4 11.0 52.6 Yes Yes Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S I/S <td>Racial/Ethnic Group</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Racial/Ethnic Group									
Asian/Pacific Islander 11 100.0 18.2 9.1 18.2 54.5 81.8 I/S I/S Hispanic 8 I/S		260	100.0	6.3	15.9	31.0	46.8	86.5	Yes	Yes
Hispanic 8 I/S I/S<	African American								Yes	Yes
American Indian/Alaskan 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A I/S I/S Disability Status Not Disabled 419 100.0 9.5 25.9 28.9 35.7 76.6 N/A N/A Disabled 50 100.0 53.3 17.8 22.2 6.7 37.8 I/S Yes Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A	Asian/Pacific Islander	11	100.0	18.2	9.1	18.2	54.5	81.8	I/S	I/S
American Indian/Alaskan 0 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A I/S I/S Disability Status Not Disabled 419 100.0 9.5 25.9 28.9 35.7 76.6 N/A N/A Disabled 50 100.0 53.3 17.8 22.2 6.7 37.8 I/S Yes Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A										
Disability Status Not Disabled 419 100.0 9.5 25.9 28.9 35.7 76.6 N/A N/A Disabled 50 100.0 53.3 17.8 22.2 6.7 37.8 I/S Yes Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A										
Not Disabled 419 100.0 9.5 25.9 28.9 35.7 76.6 N/A N/A Disabled 50 100.0 53.3 17.8 22.2 6.7 37.8 I/S Yes Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A										
Disabled 50 100.0 53.3 17.8 22.2 6.7 37.8 I/S Yes Migrant Status Migrant 0 N/A		419	100.0	9.5	25.9	28.9	35.7	76.6	N/A	N/A
Migrant Status N/A										
Migrant 0 N/A N/A </td <td></td>										
Non-Migrant 469 100.0 14.0 25.1 28.2 32.7 72.7 N/A N/A		0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	· ·	469								

Limited English Proficient

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

Non-Limited English Proficient

I/S

100.0

100.0

460

I/S

13.5

29.5

I/S

25.0

38.0

I/S

28.7

19.4

I/S

32.8

13.2

I/S

73.2

45.7

I/S

N/A

Yes

I/S

N/A

Yes

N/A

SCHOOL PROFILE

SCHOOL PROFILE	Our School	Change from Last Year	High School with Stude Like Ou	ents	Median High School
Students (n= 1,951)			Zino Gu		
Retention rate	6.1%	Down from 7.3%		.3%	7.0%
Attendance rate	95.6%	Down from 96.7%		.7%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	13.5%			.6%	7.9%
With disabilities other than speech	9.6%	Down from 10.8%		.0%	12.3%
Older than usual for grade Out-of-school suspensions or expulsions for violent &/or criminal offenses	7.5% 2.4%	Up from 7.3% Down from 5.8%		.2% .9%	9.5% 1.2%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	31.1%	Down from 36.1%	17	.4%	11.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A		N/A	N/A
Eligible for LIFE Scholarship* Annual dropout rate	42.9% 1.0%	Down from 1.4%		.0% .5%	10.2% 2.8%
Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	3.7%			.2%	3.5%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	1236	Up from 1163		971	448
Students participating in worked-based experiences	46.9%	Up from 35.7%		.8%	24.2%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	79.9%	Down from 80.2%	79	.9%	80.0%
Career/technology completers placed * Using only SAT/ACT and Grade Point Average requirem		Up from 97.3%	100	.0%	99.1%
Teachers (n= 140)					
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	63.6% N/AV	Down from 66.4%		.3% /AV	55.5% N/AV
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers	7.8%	N/A		.2%	9.6%
Teachers with emergency or provisional certificates	3.8%	Up from 3.4%	7	.1%	9.9%
Teachers returning from previous year		Down from 88.1%		.8%	86.3%
Teacher attendance rate		Up from 94.2%		.4%	95.3%
Average teacher salary Prof. development days/teacher	, ,	Up 1.8% Down from 12.9 da	\$43, ys 10.0 d		\$42,943 11.2 days
School	12.5 days	Down nom 12.9 da	ys 10.0 0	ays	11.2 uays
	1.0	Down from 10.0		4.0	3.0
Principal's years at school Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	20.8 to 1	Down from 24.3 to	1 27.1		25.7 to 1
Prime instructional time	89.7%	Up from 89.2%		.7%	89.3%
Dollars spent per pupil*		Down 12.3%	\$6,	191	\$6,792
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	57.4%	Down from 61.9%	58	.6%	55.3%
Percent of expenditures for instruction*	60.9%		64	.0%	61.1%
Opportunities in the arts		No change	Excel		Excellent
Parents attending conferences		No change		.5%	92.8%
SACS accreditation		No change		Yes	Yes
Character development * Prior year audited financial data are reported.	G000	No change	l G	ood	Good
			Our District		State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by high			7.2%		6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by high	nry qualified teach	ners	N/A	1	10.2%

		Our District	State
Classes in low poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers		7.2%	6.2%
Classes in high poverty schools not taught by highly qualified teachers		N/A	10.2%
	Sta	te Objective	Met State Objective
Classes not taught by highly qualified teachers in this school		0.0%	No
Student attendance in this school *or greater than last year		94.0%*	Yes

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Irmo High School is proud to announce the following national, state and regional awards. These accomplishments reflect the tradition of excellence at Irmo High.

National Blue Ribbon Lighthouse School Award;

Irmo High listed among 22 high schools in South Carolina by Newsweek magazine as one of the schools that do the best job of preparing average students for college;

- 1 student awarded Presidential Scholar Award;
- 8 National Merit Semi-finalists:
- 1 National Achievement Semi-Finalist;
- 15 teachers named to "Who's Who Among America's Teachers;"
- 1 student scored a perfect 2400 on the SAT;
- 1 student was selected to participate in the football Shrine Bowl Game;
- 1 student was selected to participate in the football North-South Game;

STARR & VIBE Clubs awarded President's Council on Service and Civic Participation; 2005 Educator of Distinction National Award;

HOBY Leadership Award;

S.C. District National Forensic League for Extemporaneous and 2005 National Qualifier;

National Council of Teachers of English Writing Achievement Award;

National Peace Essay State Winner;

5 students selected for National Honors Band;

Symphonic Winds - Superior Rating;

Symphonic Band - Excellent Rating;

1 U.S. Air Force Academy Appointment;

1 Air Force ROTC scholarship;

1 AFJROTC Outstanding Instructor Award;

Champions of the Environment Award;

Irmo Science Team Member - highest score in the state.

Eddie Walker, Principal Didier Nobels, SIC Chair

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS							
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*				
Number of surveys returned	67	238	25				
Percent satisfied with learning environment	97.0%	70.3%	84.0%				
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	97.0%	76.8%	68.0%				
Percent satisfied with school-home relations	93.8%	79.5%	83.3%				

^{*}Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools without grade 11, only the highest grade was included.